PREVENTION OF INFANT DISEASE: IMMUNIZATION IN PREGNANT WOMEN AND ITS APPLICATION IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES





## Annecy ADVAC 15 May 21, 2014

Janet A. Englund, M.D. Seattle Children's Hospital University of Washington Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Seattle, WA USA





### NEONATES AND YOUNG INFANTS ARE AT HIGH RISK FROM INFECTIOUS DISEASES

- Neonates are uniquely at risk for many different infections which cause substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide
- Immune system of neonates is immature and relatively ineffective
- Active immunization is rarely successful in newborns





## PREGNANT WOMEN

- Deserve appropriate routine medical care as medically indicated - regardless of pregnancy status. EXAMPLES: antibiotics
- Should not be excluded from beneficial treatments/ potentially beneficial therapies based on pregnancy status. EXAMPLE: antiretroviral drugs
- Can help protect their infants against some diseases by medical intervention during pregnancy.
   EXAMPLE: Rh disease/Rhogam, tetanus vx
- Have mature immune systems which are far more competent than the fetus or neonate. They respond well to protein, polysaccharide, and conjugate vx EXAMPLE: Flu vx, Tdap vx
- Are capable and should have the right to make informed consent for themselves and their unborn child (although this is country and culture-specific)



Thanks to my sister-in-law



### Immune Responses During Pregnancy\*

- Physiologic changes
  - Increased heart rate, stroke volume; decreased lung capacity but increase in O2 carriage.
  - Alter host response to antigens (increase in estrogen and progesterone result in decreased interleukins).
  - Increase in blood cortisol levels due to decreased clearance
- Decreased cell mediated immunity: relatively minor but can predispose to listeria, TB, toxoplasmosis, etc.
- Decrease in concentration of IgG (hemodilution)
- No significant alteration in antibody responses to vaccines or infections



Southwest Washington Health District , WA





### WHY IMMUNIZE A PREGNANT WOMAN?



- Immunization during pregnancy has the potential to protect both mother and infant during a vulnerable period in their lives
- Pregnant women are accessible to medical care and intervention
- Transplacental transfer of antibodies is safer and less expensive than administration of immunoglobulin preparations to the infant

## Health Service Coverage Among Pregnant Women\*

	MD Antenatal care 2000-	G 5 coverage (%) 2009
Income Group	At least 1 visit	At least 4 visits
Low income	69	39
Lower middle income	79	47
Upper middle income	94	75
High income		
Global	78	48

\* World Health Statistics 2010.

## OBSTETRICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR USING A VACCINE IN PREGNANT WOMEN\*

- High risk for exposure of pregnant woman to disease
- Infection poses a special risk to the mother
- Infection poses a special risk to the fetus
- Vaccine is available, and unlikely to cause harm



## IMMUNIZATION DURING PREGNANCY: RECENT HISTORY

- Routine immunization during pregnancy with diphtheria, influenza and polio vaccines during 1950's - 60's
- Safety and benefit of polio vaccine during polio outbreaks (Finland, Israel), and meningococcal outbreaks (Brazil) between 1970 – 1990
- Concerns of vaccine safety, vaccine components, and lack of efficacy data resulted in cessation of maternal vaccination except for high maternal risk in USA by 1980's
- 2009-10 Pandemic H1N1 outbreak demonstrated risk of flu during pregnancy and benefits of flu vaccination
- 2012-2014 pertussis epidemic emphasized high risk of neonatal pertussis deaths





## Outline

# Examples of maternal immunization to be discussed :

- Diphtheria
- Tetanus
- Hib
- Influenza
- Pertussis, RSV
- Not discussed:
  - Group B Streptococcus
  - Meningococcus
  - CMV, HSV



## DIPHTHERIA

Barr et al, Lancet 1950

#### DIPHTHERIA IMMUNISATION IN YOUNG BABIES

#### A STUDY OF SOME FACTORS INVOLVED

Mollie Barr

A. T. GLENNY

M.Sc. Lond., A.R.I.C.

B.Sc. Lond., F.R.S.

OF THE WELLCOME RESEARCH LABORATORIES, BECKENHAM

K. J. RANDALL

M.D. Lond.

SENIOR REGISTRAR IN PATHOLOGY, ST. ALFEGE'S HOSPITAL, GREENWICH

TABLE I—ANTITOXIC RESPONSE OF BABIES TO TWO INJECTIONS, EACH OF 0.5 ML. OF A.P.T. : BABIES GROUPED ACCORDING TO THE TITRE OF PASSIVE ANTITOXIN PRESENT AT THE TIME OF THE FIRST INJECTION

Group	Passive antitoxin at time of 1st injection	Age (weeks)	No. of babies producing titres (unit/ml.)				,	Total Geometric no. (unit/ml.)		Av. interval (weeks) between				
	(unit/ml.)		<0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.1	0.5	0.2	1.0	(		2nd in- jections	and blood sample
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{F} \end{array} + \mathbf{C}$	Under 0.02 Total under 0.02 0.02-0.04 0.04-0.10 0.10-0.20	6-13 14-26 Over 26 6 upwards Av. 18.4 Av. 12.9 Av. 18.2	··· ··· ··· ··· ···	··· ··· ·· 1		$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       3 \\       7 \\       2 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       3 \\       6 \\       1 \\       10 \\       1 \\       2 \\       \dots     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       11 \\       9 \\       10 \\       30 \\       1 \\       0 \\       \dots     \end{array} $		$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       2 \\       1 \\       4 \\       0 \\       1 \\       \dots   \end{array} $	$20 \\ 23 \\ 18 \\ 61 \\ -7 \\ -6 \\ 5$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.329\\ 0.267\\ 0.207\\ 0.265\\ 0.166\\ 0.098\\ < 0.020 \end{array}$	8.1 9.6  7.8 8.2	8.9 8.5 9.1 7.7 8.2

### More maternal Ab $\rightarrow$ Less infant Ab after infant immunization

THE LANCET] MISS BARR AND OTHERS: DIPHTHERIA IMMUNISATION IN YOUNG BABIES [JAN. 7, 1950

## Who Could Benefit From What Vaccine?

Licensed Vaccines	Mother	Infant
Tetanus	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Influenza	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Pertussis	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Meningococcus	$\checkmark$	?
Vaccines in Development		
Group B strep	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
RSV	?	$\checkmark$
CMV		Octobe 25, 2012

### NEONATAL TETANUS: A PREVENTABLE DISEASE

- Important cause of neonatal death worldwide for centuries
  - 1960: 38% of neonatal mortality in Thailand
  - 1980: 30% of all deaths in first year of life in many developing countries
- 1961: Landmark study in New Guinea demonstrated benefit of maternal immunization with tetanus toxoid (Schofield et al, Brit Med J 1961,2:785-9)
- 1989: World Health Organization set goal to eliminate neonatal tetanus using maternal immunization – renewed X 3



Highlands, New Guinea



### Schofield et al, Brit Med J 1961,2: 785-9



#### NEONATAL TETANUS IN NEW GUINEA

EFFECT OF ACTIVE IMMUNIZATION IN PREGNANCY

BY

F. D. SCHOFIELD, M.D., M.R.C.P., D.T.M.&H.

V. M. TUCKER, S.R.N. Department of Public Health, Territory of Papua and New Guinea

AND

G. R. WESTBROOK, S.R.N. A.O.G. Mission, Wingel, Sepik District, New Guinea New Guinea, 1961: Incidence of neonatal tetanus pre-study was 80 cases per 1000 live births

# Doses Tetanus Toxoid Given To Pregnant Women	0 or 1 dose	2 doses	3 doses
Number (%) of infants with neonatal tetanus	16/160 (10%)	8/234 (3.4%)	1/175 (0.6%)



## **Elimination of Neonatal Tetanus**

1989 WHO & 1990 World Summit for Children made declarations for the global elimination of neonatal tetanus by 1995... 2000...2005...2010....\*



### FACTORS AFFECTING TRANSPLACENTAL TRANSPORT OF MATERNAL ANTIBODY TO THE INFANT

- Placental abnormalities
  - Malaria
  - HIV infection
- TIME:
  - gestational age of infant
  - time between vaccination and delivery
- Maternal IgG level
- IgG subclass



Infant born in Nepal during maternal immunization trial

### Maternal-Fetal IgG Transport: AN ACTIVE PROCESS

- Placental transfer is highly selective for monomeric IgG, and occurs by receptor-mediated active transport
- Transport requires HEALTHY placenta
- lgG1 = lgG3 > lgG4 > lgG2
- No transfer of IgM, IgA, IgE
- Begins at 17 wks; increases with gestation
- By 33 weeks maternal= fetal IgG levels and by 40 weeks fetal > maternal IgG levels



Fig. 1. Comparison of 1gG concentrations in forty-six paired maternal cord sera



## PLACENTAL STRUCTURE: Reduced Transfer of Tetanus Antibodies with Malaria





0.18



Brair et al. Lancet 1994;343:208

<sup>0.23</sup> 

## Decreased Antibody Titers in Uninfected, HIV-exposed vs Healthy HIV-unexposed Infants at Birth\*



\*Jones CE, et al. JAMA. 2011 Feb 9;305(6):576-84.

## IMMUNIZATION DURING RATHER THAN PRIOR TO PREGNANCY HAS ADVANTAGES

NOTE: Pre-pregnancy immunization has higher % IgG transmission but decreased total IgG levels

Timing of Hib	IgG Anti-PRP (ug/ml)				
Vaccine	<u>Mother</u>	Infant	<u>% Transmision</u>		
Pre-Pregnancy					
Sacaton, AZ <sup>1</sup>	20	11	73%		
<u>3rd Trimester</u>					
Houston, TX <sup>2</sup>	78	47	60%		
The Gambia <sup>3</sup>	4	2	61%		

<sup>1</sup> Santosham et al,PIDJ 2001;20:931; <sup>2</sup> Englund et al JID 1995; <sup>3</sup> Mulholland et al.

## **Influenza Vaccine and Pregnant Women\***

\ /accine

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Vaccine

#### leview

nfluenza vaccine for pregnant women in resource-constrained countries: A review of the evidence to inform policy decisions

ustin R. Ortiz <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Janet A. Englund<sup>c</sup>, Kathleen M. Neuzil<sup>a,b,d</sup>

- High burden of influenza illness among pregnant women.
- Excellent immunogenicity and safety profile of TIV.
- Effectiveness in infants born to vaccinated mothers.
- No good alternatives for neonates, young infants.
- Main barriers: logistics and costs.

Level of evidence	High resource	Low resource
Disease burden, mother	++	+
Disease burden, infant	++	+
Vaccine safety	++	+
Maternal immunogenicity	++	+
Antibody interference with routine childhood immunization	N/A	N/A
Effectiveness in pregnant women	+	+
Effectiveness in infants born to vaccinated mother	+	+

#### Legend:

- ++ Substantial information available
- + Partial information available
- Little or no information available
- N/A Not applicable

\*Ortiz JR, Englund JA, Neuzil KM. Influenza vaccine for pregnant women in resource-constrained countries: A review of the evidence to inform policy decisions. Vaccine. 2011 Jun 15;29(27):4439-52. PMID: 21550377

## **Options for Prevention: Influenza Vaccine**

- Trivalent inactivated vaccine (TIV)
  - Approved for 6 months of age
  - Only vaccine for pregnant women (recommended by CDC; not licensed by FDA for use during pregnancy)
  - At least 5 manufacturers in US
  - Single dose-thimerosal free and multidose vials available
  - Dose: 0.5 ml IM once early in season
- Adjuvanted inactivated influenza vaccine
  - MF59
  - AS03 enhanced immunity; licensed in EU
  - Not studied prior to 2009 pandemic
- Live attenuated influenza vaccine
  - Not recommended for use in pregnancy



## Influenza Disease During Pregnancy

Influenza infection in pregnant women:

- Increased severity during 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester
- Increased severity with pre-existing conditions
- Increased severity with new influenza strain
- Impacts the fetus

Photo thanks to my fellow



## Systematic Review of Risks of Pandemic H1N1 in Pregnancy

Paper	<b>Risk of hospitalization</b>	Disk of ICU admissio	Risk of death	Risk of severe disease
New South Wales Public Health Network <sup>110</sup>		RR, 5.8 <sup>a</sup>	RR, 10.2ª	
ANZIC <sup>8</sup>		RR, 7.4 <sup>a</sup>		
Campbell et al <sup>123</sup>		RR, 0.7 (0.4–1.2) <sup>a</sup>	RR, 1.1 (0.3–4.1) <sup>a</sup>	RR, 0.7 (0.4–1.3)
Creanga et al <sup>13</sup>	RR, 7.2ª			RR, 4.3ª
Fuhrman et al <sup>62</sup>			aOR, 0.3 (0.04–3.0)	aOR, 0.5 (0.2–0.8)
Gérardin et al <sup>46</sup>		RR, 0.4 (0–2.6) <sup>a</sup>		
Hanslik et al <sup>23</sup>		OR, 5.2 (4.0–6.9)	CR, 1.4 (0.3–4.2)	
Jamieson et al <sup>3</sup>	RR, 4.3 (2.3–7.8) <sup>b</sup>			
Kelly et al <sup>28</sup>	RR, 5.2 (4.6–5.8) <sup>b</sup>	RR, 6.5 (4.8–8.8) <sup>b</sup>	RR, 1.4 (0.4–4.5) <sup>b</sup>	
Koegelenberg et al <sup>29</sup>			OR, 1.13 (0.14–8.88)	
Oliveira et al <sup>81</sup>			RR, 1.07 (.82–1.41) <sup>a</sup>	
Yang et al <sup>53</sup>			OR, 0.8 (0.2–3.5)	OR, 0.4 (0.2–3.4)
Zarychanski et al <sup>106</sup>		OR, 3.64 (0.86–15.4) <sup>a</sup>	,c	



.....

#### Mosby AJOG 2011

...

## Fetal Risk of Maternal Influenza (Surveillance Studies)

Study	Site	Case	Control	Results
McNeill AJOG 2011	Canada 1990- 2002	Maternal influenza season respiratory hospitalization (208)	No hospitalization (132,099)	Newborns of hospitalized cases were 90gm smaller, 40% more likely to be small for gestational age
Mendez- Figueroa AJOG 2011	USA 2009-10	Maternal ILI with lab confirmed pandemic H1N1 (15)	Maternal ILI with lab test negative (25)	Newborns exposed to influenza were 285gm smaller
Pierce BMJ 2011	UK 2009- 2010	Pregnant women with Lab-confirmed hospitalization for pandemic H1N1 (256)	Historical comparison of pregnant women from 2005-2006 (1220)	Newborns exposed to influenza were 255 g smaller. Higher perinatal mortality and premature birth in exposed.



#### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Risk of Fetal Death after Pandemic Influenza Virus Infection or Vaccination

Siri E. Håberg, M.D., Ph.D., Lill Trogstad, M.D., Ph.D., Nina Gunnes, Ph.D., Allen J. Wilcox, M.D., Ph.D., Håkon K. Gjessing, Ph.D., Sven Ove Samuelsen, Ph.D., Anders Skrondal, Ph.D., Inger Cappelen, Ph.D., Anders Engeland, Ph.D., Preben Aavitsland, M.D., Steinar Madsen, M.D., Ingebjørg Buajordet, Ph.D., Kari Furu, Ph.D., Per Nafstad, M.D., Ph.D.,

#### Haberg et al. NEJM Jan. 17, 2013; 368: 333-40

There were 117,347 eligible pregnancies in Norway from 2009 through 2010. Fetal mortality was 4.9 deaths per 1000 births. During the pandemic, 54% of pregnant women in their second or third trimester were vaccinated. Vaccination during pregnancy substantially reduced the risk of an influenza diagnosis (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.30; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.25 to 0.34). Among pregnant women with a clinical diagnosis of influenza, the risk of fetal death was increased (adjusted hazard ratio, 1.91; 95% CI, 1.07 to 3.41). The risk of fetal death was reduced with vaccination during pregnancy, although this reduction was not significant (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.17).

Table 2. Hazard Ratios for Fetal Death, According to Status Re	garding Vaccination, Pregnancy during the Pandemic
Wave, and a Clinical Diagnosis of Influenza.*	

Variable	No. of Pregnancy- Days at Riskî	н	lazard Ratio (95% C	:1)
		Without Adjustment	With Initial Adjustment‡	With Further Adjustment§
Total no. of days	18,970,404			
Vaccinated during pregnancy				
No	15,942,252	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	3,028,152	0.95 (0.74-1.21)	0.84 (0.64-1.10)	0.88 (0.66-1.17)
Pregnant during the pandemic				
No	10,422,035	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	8,548,369	1.15 (0.96-1.37)	1.21 (1.00-1.48)	1.26 (1.02-1.55)
Without an influenz a diagnosis	8,221,514	1.11 (0.93–1.33)	1.18 (0.96-1.44)	1.23 (0.99-1.52)
With an influenza diagnosis	326,855	2.00 (1.20-3.32)	2.10 (1.27-3.49)	1.91 (1.07-3.4))

## Influenza-associated Mortality and Hospitalizations Are High in the Youngest Children\*

## Influenza-associated deaths among US children, 2003-2004\*



#### Hospitalizations per 10,000\*\*



\*Bhat et al. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 2559-67

\*\* Glezen et al. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;155:1119-26;
Neuzil et al. NEJM 2000;342:225-231;
Neuzil et al. J Pediatr 2000;137:856-864.

## Safety of influenza vaccines in pregnancy

### Data available includes

- Prospective clinical trials \*
- Retrospective and database studies\*
- Post-marketing passive reporting systems \*\*
  - VAERS or VSD in the US
  - Yellow Card System in the UK
- Other vaccine safety systems using databases that link vaccination history and medical outcomes
- Post-marketing Pregnancy Registries\*\*

Data available supports safety of vaccination of pregnant women with inactivated influenza vaccine, with potential to benefit both mother and infant.

(Maternal Influenza Immunization Convening London, June 2011)

\* Limitations: Design and statistical power (N)

\*\* Limitations: 1. Under reporting; 2. In addition to number of events, calculation of a rate or attributable risk (using # persons vaccinated as denominator) is necessary to evaluate relationship/causality; 3. Confounders; 4. Insufficient power



## SAGE MEETING | April 2012

## Maternal Immunization with Influenza Vaccine Protects Mothers and Babies Against Influenza\*



#### OR IGINAL ARTICLE

#### Effectiveness of Maternal Influenza Immunization in Mothers and Infants

K. Zaman, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Eliza Roy, M.B., B.S., D.C.H., Shams E. Arifeen, M.B., B.S., Dr.P.H., Mahbubur Rahman, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Rubhana Raqib, Ph.D., Emily Wilson, M.H.S., Saad B. Omer, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Nigar S. Shahid, M.B., B.S., M.P.H., Robert E. Breiman, M.D., and Mark C. Steinhoff, M.D.



1	Table 2. Clinical Effectiveness of Influenza Vaccine in Infants and Mothers.*						
Variable		E	pisodes	Clinical Effectiveness (95% CI)†	Risk Difference (95% CI)‡		
		Control	Influenza Vaccine				
			no.	%			
	Mothers						
	Person-months	1076	1089	$\frown$			
	Respiratory illness with fever						
	Any fever	77	50	35.8 (3.7 to 57.2)	–14.2 (–25.5 to –2.9)§		
	Temperature >38°C	33	19	43.1 (-9.0 to 70.3)	-7.3 (-14.5 to -0.1)§		
	Diarrheal disease	60	49	19.3 (-24.6 to 47.8)	-5.9 (-16.4 to 4.5)		
	Clinic visit	25	19	24.9 (+43.9 to 60.8)	-3.2 (-9.8 to 3.4)		

Figure 2. Cumulative Cases of Laboratory-Proven Influenza in Infants Whose Mothers Received Influenza Vaccine, as Compared with Control Subjects.

\*Zaman et al.

NEJM 2008;359

Testing for influenza antigen was performed from December 2004 to November 2005.

### Influenza Ab in Immunized Mothers and Babies over Time

#### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE NENGLI MED 362;17 NEJM.ORG APRIL 29, 2010



curred during the third trimester.) I bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

HOS

## Maternal Influenza Immunization and Infant Outcomes

Author	Site/ Dates	Design	# VX	# Control	Infant Effect
Zaman 2008	Bangladesh 2004-5	RC Vx Trial	172	168	↓ 36% ILI ↓ 69% lab + flu
Poehling 2011	TN, OH, NY USA 2002-9	Case Control	151	1359	↓45-48% hospitalization
Eick 2011	Apache/ Najavo USA 2002-5	Prospec- tive obser- vational cohort	573	587	↓41% lab + flu
Benowitz 2010	CN/ USA 2000-9	Case- control	91	156	↓91.5% hospitalized flu+

J. Englund. Presentation to SAGE. April 2012.



Increased birth weight in babies born to TIV-immunized mothers support results of Bangladesh study

Data from 3 studies of pregnant women who were either immunized or experienced influenza supports birthweight observations from Bangladesh:

Author	Site	Design	Intervention	Control	Newborn	Outcome
Steinhoff	Bangladesh	RC Trial	Flu vaccine	Spn vaccine	Birth weight	% SGA
2011	2004-05		172	168		
					<b>↑</b> 200g	<b>¥</b> 34%
McNeill	NS, Canada	Retrospective	"flu" adm	No adm	<b>♦</b> 90gm	<b>↓</b> 40%
2011	1990-2002		208	132,099		,
S. Omer	GA, USA	Cohort	Flu vaccine	No vaccine		<b>↓</b> 70%
2011	2004-06	analysis	578	3,748		·
Anderson	RI, USA	Prospective	Lab flu	ILI, lab	<b>↑</b> 285g	
2011	2009-10	cohort	16	negative		
		$(\mathbf{pH}_1\mathbf{N}_1)$		25		

## WHO Position Paper: Maternal Immunization





This recommendation is based on evidence of:

- High risk of severe disease
- <u>Safety</u> of seasonal influenza vaccine throughout pregnancy
- Effectiveness of preventing influenza in the women as well as in their young infants, in whom the disease burden is also high.

WHO. Vaccines Against Influenza, WHO position paper – November 2012. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. No. 47, 2012, 87, 461–476.



## World Health Organization

Organisation mondiale de la Santé

SAGE recommended pregnant women as the most important risk group for inactivated seasonal influenza vaccination. Other risk groups to be considered, in no specific priority order were: health-care workers, childron aged 6–59 months, the elderly and those with highrisk conditions. SAGE recommended that countries with existing influenza vaccination programmes targeting any of these groups should continue to do so and should incorporate immunization of pregnant women into such programmes. Countries should decide which other risk groups to prioritize for vaccination based on burden of disease, cost-effectiveness, feasibility and other appropriate considerations.

The priority accorded to pregnant women was based on compelling evidence of substantial risk of severe disease in this group and evidence that seasonal influenza vaccine is safe and effective in preventing disease in pregnant women as well as their young infants, in whom disease burden is also high. Additional considerations for targeting this group included operational feasibility and the opportunity to prioritize and strengthen maternal immunization programmes.

## Weekly epidemiological record Relevé épidémiologique hebdomadaire

25 MAY 2012, 87th YEAR / 25 MAJ 2012, 87\* ANNÉE No. 21, 2012, 87, 201–216 http://www.who.int/wer May 2012

- Pregnant women represent the most important risk group for receipt of inactivated seasonal influenza vaccine.
- The priority accord to pregnant women was based on "compelling evidence of substantial risk of severe disease in this group and evidence that seasonal influenza vaccine is safe and effective in preventing disease in pregnant women as well as

their young infants, in whom disease burden is also high."

- No recommendation for timing of influenza vaccine during pregnancy.
- Revision of WHO Position Paper and Grade Tables published in Nov. 2012.

## Clinical Studies of Maternal Influenza Immunization Underway

- Ongoing clinical studies of influenza in pregnant women may help answer questions regarding effectiveness, safety, and benefits in outcomes.
- EXAMPLE: Prospective, randomized clinical studies of TIV in pregnant women sponsored by Gates Fndn underway in Mali, Nepal, and South Africa in 2<sup>nd</sup> year, with thousands of pregnant women enrolled at each site.







## Infant Pertussis : A serious outbreak in the UK, 2012-2014



Public Health England

#### Pertussis Immunisation in Pregnancy

#### **Department of Health Recommendations**

- From 1 October 2012
- Offer a single dose of Repevax® (dTaP/IPV) between 28-38 weeks pregnancy
- Offer in every pregnancy
- Outbreak response measure

- In 2012: 235 babies < 12 weeks of age diagnosed with pertussis; in 2013 with maternal Tdap in ~60% pregnant women: 79% drop in infant cases
- In 2012: 14 babies died; in 2013- 3 babies died of pertussis and none born to immunized mothers

http://www.nhs.uk/conditions/pregnancy-and-baby/pages/whooping-cough-vaccinationpregnant.aspx#So

## Get Vaccinated Against Whooping Cough While Pregnant – USA – ACIP: 2013\*

Pregnant women should get a whooping cough vaccine since vaccines are the best way to prevent this disease. There are 2 different whooping cough vaccines for different age groups:

•Tdap: for everyone 11 years and older, including pregnant women

•DTaP: for children 2 months through 6 years of age Whooping cough vaccine is recommended during each of your pregnancies

The best time to get the shot is your
 27<sup>th</sup> through 36<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy.

\* http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/adults/recvac/pregnant/whooping-cough/get-vaccinated.html


#### Preliminary Communication

### Safety and Immunogenicity of Tetanus Diphtheria and Acellular Pertussis (Tdap) Immunization During Pregnancy in Mothers and Infants A Randomized Clinical Trial



The Journal of the American Medical Association

Flor M. Munoz, MD; Nanette H. Bond, PAC; Maurizio Maccato, MD; Phillip Pinell, MD; Hunter A. Hammill, MD; Geeta K. Swamy, MD; Emmanuel B. Walter, MD; Lisa A. Jackson, MD; Janet A. Englund, MD; Morven S. Edwards, MD; C. Mary Healy, MD; Carey R. Petrie, PhD; Jennifer Ferreira, ScM; Johannes B. Goll, MS; Carol J. Baker, MD

		Single dose administered to pregnant women with crossover design		
Group	Ν	Antepartum	Postpartum	
1	32	Tdap	Saline	
2	16	Saline	Tdap	
3	32	Single dose administered to non-pregnant women Tdap vaccine		
	Group 1 2 3 et al. J	GroupN1322163321 et al. JAMA 2	GroupNAntepartum132Tdap216Saline332Single dose adm4et al. JAMA 2014: 311:1760-9	

### RESULTS : Immunogenicity (GMC) Pertussis Antibodies in Mothers and Infants\*



### Transplacental Transmission of PT Ab: US\* vs Nepal\*\*

Table 5. Transplacental Transfer of Antibodies (Ratio of Infant Cord Blood Antibodies to Maternal Antibodies) and Antibody Concentrations in Infants at 2 Months of Age Compared With Concentrations at Birth (Ratio of Infant 2-Month Antibodies to Cord Blood Antibodies)

	Ratio (95% CI)					
	Tdap Antepartum/Placebo Postpartum (n = 31)		Placebo Antepartum/Tdap Postpartum (n = 14)			
Vaccine Antigen	Infant Cord Blood Antibodies to Maternal Antibodies at Delivery	Infant Antibodies at 2 Mo to Cord Blood Antibodies	Infant Cord Blood Antibodies to Maternal Antibodies at Delivery	Infant Antibodies at 2 Mo to Cord Blood Antibodies		
Pertussis Toxin	1.23 (1.03 to -1.47)	0.34 (0.29 to 0.41) <sup>a</sup>	1.54 (1.15 to 2.05)	0.40 (0.29 to 0.56) <sup>b</sup>		
Filamentous hemagglutinin	1.27 (1.13 to 1.42)	0.42 (0.36 to 0.49)	1.15 (0.74 to 1.76)	0.32 (0.19 to 0.53) <sup>c</sup>		
Pertactin	1.19 (0.93 to 1.52)	0.31 (0.25 to 0.39)	1.19 (0.98 to 1.44)	0.42 (0.29 to 0.60) <sup>b</sup>		
Fimbriae 2 and 7	1.26 (1.02 to 1.55)	0.26 (0.20 to 0.32)	1.49 (127 to 1.73)	0.25 (0.19 to 0.33) <sup>b</sup>		
Tetanus	1.36 (1.14 to 1.62)	0.27 (0.22 to 0.31) <sup>d</sup>	1.19 (1.02 to 1.40)	0.38 (0.26 to 0.57) <sup>c,d</sup>		
Diphtheria	1.26 (0.91 to 1.75)	0.28 (0.22 to 0.36)	1.28 (0.91 to 1.79)	0.28 (0.22 to 0.36) <sup>c</sup>		
US vaccinated mothers:						
cord: maternal PT transfer = 1.23 [95% CI 1.03-1.47]						
US unvaccinated mothers: cord: maternal PT transfer = 1.54 [95% CI 1.15-2.05]						





\*Munoz et al JAMA 2014; \*\* Mergler PAS 2014 Abstract, Vancouver BC

### **Burden of RSV Disease Worldwide**

- Pneumonia is leading single cause of mortality in children < 5 years</li>
- Emerging data indicate clinical importance of RSV in children worldwide:\*
  - Studies have detected RSV and demonstrated high burden of disease worldwide regardless of climate, socioeconomic burden
  - More disease at an earlier age documented in crowded setting, lower socioeconomic status.
  - Increased concern about antibiotic resistance and the proper use of antibiotics in children (<u>RSV is not</u> <u>susceptible to ampicillin!</u>)





Nair et al Lancet 2011

### RSV VACCINE vs PLACEBO IN PREGNANT WOMEN\*

- Primary Endpoints:
  - Safety in women and their offspring
  - Effect of antibody on primary RSV disease in infants
- <u>Secondary Endpoints</u>:
  - Immunogenicity
  - Efficiency of antibody transfer
  - Persistence of antibody in infa
  - Breast milk antibody

\*Munoz, Piedra, Glezen. Vaccine 2003;21:3465



### **RSV Vaccine Snapshot** 2013





## Legal Liability for Vaccine Manufacturers

- Background rates of major congenital anomalies, spontaneous abortions, and still births EVEN without vaccination are substantial
- Temporal relationships, rather than causation, will be difficult to prove or disprove
- Background of a litiginous society AND "medical terrorism" makes supporting studies difficult for manufacturers
- Indemnification needed before companies will participate in production and testing



# POTENTIAL OBSTACLES FOR MATERNAL IMMUNIZATION

- Lack of effective vaccines against important common pathogens
- Immune response to some vaccines appears short-lived, necessitating intrapartum (not pre-conception) vaccination and perhaps repeated immunization
- Regulatory and legal issues
- Liability issues and issues affecting interaction with pharmaceutical companies



### Mt. Everest

### Acknowledgements:

- Helen Chu, MD and Jane Kuypers PhD- Univ. Washington
- Kathy Neuzil, MD, MPH and Justin Ortiz, MD, MPH, MD Univ.Washington & PATH
- Flor Munoz, MD and WP Glezen, MD-Baylor College of Medicine
- Mark Steinhoff, MD, James Tielsch, PhD, Joanne Katz Nepal site (Cincinnati Children's Hospital/ George Washington U/Johns Hopkins)
- Liz Miller, Helen Campbell– UK Health Protection Agency
- ClaireAnne Siegrist- SAGE enthusiast for maternal immunization
- Funding: NIAID. PATH. Thrasher. Bill and Melinda Gates Endn







### Inhibition of Active Immune Response to Diphtheria Toxoic in Infants Based on Presence of Passive Antibody



FIG. I.—Distribution of diphtheria antitoxin titres in the serum of babies when 3 months old after immunization under scheme A. (1) 110 babies with cord blood titre of 0.01 unit per ml. or less (2) 21 ", ", ", ", ", ", 0.02 or 0.04 unit per ml. (3) 34 ", ", ", ", ", ", ", 0.1, 0.2, or 0.5 unit ", (4) 12 ", ", ", ", ", ", ", 1, 2, or 10 units ", Broken lines show the distribution of residual passive antitoxin titres.





### PERTUSSIS

The Effect of Maternal Antibody on the Serologic Response and the Incidence of Adverse Reactions After Primary Immunization With Acellular and Whole-Cell Pertussis Vaccines Combined with Diphtheria and Tetanus Toxoids Janet A. Englund, Edwin L. Anderson, George F. Reed, Michael D. Decker, Kathryn M. Edwards, Michael E. Pichichero, Mark C. Steinhoff, Margaret B. Rennels, Adamadia Deforest and Bruce D. Meade *Pediatrics* 1995;96;580

А POST PT, LOG BASE 10 2 3 PRE PT, LOG BASE 10 В BASE 10 СС POST PT,

2

PRE PT, LOG BASE 10

3

Figure A: Pre vs post PT antibody in infants receiving DTaP vaccine (no effect of maternal Ab)

Figure B. Pre vs. post-PT antibody in infants receiving DTP Vaccine (Neg. effect of maternal Ab)

> **Figure.** Relationship between preimmunization and postimmunization PT antibody levels after WCL (A) and DTaP (B). The slope of the linear regression for preimmunization versus postimmunization antibody is -0.04 for DTaP (P = .26), indicating no significant effect of preimmunization antibody on the postimmunization response. In contrast, the slope of the regression line is -1.19 for WCL (P < .001), indicating a significant negative effect of preimmunization antibody on the postimmunization antibody level. See Table 2 for the various regression coefficients (slopes).

### A COMPELLING CASE

- THERE IS COMPELLING DATA TO VACCINATE
   PREGNANT WOMEN TO PROTECT THE WOMAN AND
   HER INFANT
- Flu vaccines are safe and immunogenic
- WHY DON'T WE? In countries with physician malpractice concerns, are health care providers at risk by not promoting maternal flu vaccination?
- If you had a limited vaccine supply or health care budget, who would you immunize?

### Vaccines Administered during Pregnancy in NIH Funded Trials, USA

- Capsular Polysaccharide of Hib
- Protein Conjugate-Polysaccharide of Hib
- 23 valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide
- Group B Streptococcal polysaccharide and conjugate vaccines
- RSV-subunit vaccine (PFP-2)
- Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines
- Acellular pertussis vaccines
- Today's talk: Tetanus, influenza, RSV



### RSV Is Predominant Cause of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Children Ages 2Mo -3Yr in Nepal

#### RNA viruses in community-acquired childhood pneumonia in semi-urban Nepal; a cross-sectional study

Maria Mathisen\*1, Tor A Strand<sup>1,2</sup>, Biswa N Sharma<sup>3</sup>, Ram K Chandyo<sup>1,4</sup>, Palle Valentiner-Branth<sup>5</sup>, Sudha Basnet<sup>4</sup>, Ramesh K Adhikari<sup>4</sup>, Dag Hvidsten<sup>6</sup>, Prakash S Shrestha<sup>4</sup> and Halvor Sommerfelt<sup>1,7</sup>

N = 2219 children

Table 2: Distribution of the different RNA viruses in 2,219 cases of community-acquired pneumonia in children 2 to 35 months of age diagnosed at a field clinic in Bhaktapur, Nepal, from July 2004 to June 2007

	Number of Isolates	All pneumonia cases	Virus positive cases
h	_	(n = 2,219)	(n = 887)
virus	n	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
RSV	334	15.) (13.6 to 16.6)	37.7 (34.5 to 40.9)
nfluenza A	164	7.4 (6.3 to 8.6)	18.5 (16.0 to 21.2)
VV type 3	129	5.8 (4.9 to 6.9)	14.5 (12.3 to 17.0)
IV type I	98	4.4 (3.6 to 5.4)	11.0 (9.1 to 13.3)
MPV	93	4.2 (3.4 to 5.1)	10.5 (8.5 to 12.7)
nfluenza B	84	3.8 (3.0 to 4.7)	9.5 (7.6 to 11.6)
VV type 2	17	0.8 (0.4 to 1.2)	1.9 (1.1 to 3.1)

CI = confidence interval; hMPV = human metapneumovirus; PIV = parainfluenza virus; RSV = respiratory syncytial virus.

#### BMC Medicine 2009, 7:35 doi:10.1186/1741-7015-7-35



### Are there sufficient data?\*

- "Whereas reliance on supranational/regional data may be necessary for many countries to assess the overall epidemiological situation, individual national decisions on the use of influenza vaccines will be determined by national capacity and resources."
- "To this end, country- specific information about risk groups, disease burden and costeffectiveness are important to aid national policy makers and health programme planners."

\*WHO. Vaccines Against Influenza, WHO position paper – November 2012. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. No. 47, 2012, 87, 461–476.

### **Guidelines for Vaccinating Pregnant Women**

#### Modified after: Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)

#### **CDC, July 2012**

Hepatitis A	May be used if benefits outweigh risks
Hepatitis B	Recommended in some circumstances
Human Papillomavirus (HPV)	Not recommended
Influenza (Inactivated)	Recommended
MCV4, PCV 13, PPS23	Inadequate data for specific recommendation
IPV	May be used if needed
Td	Should be used if otherwise indicated
TdaP	Recommended
Varicella, LAIV, MMR, Zoster	Contraindicated

Source: Gruber M. Annecy maternal immunization meeting. 2012



### Transplacentally-Acquired Influenza Antibody in Infants: Small prospective studies

- Infants are protected from symptomatic influenza A virus infection by transplacentally acquired antibody (*Puck 1980*)
- Passive maternal antibody to influenza:
  - delays the onset of influenza disease
  - decreased the severity of influenza disease (*Reuman 1987*)
- Maternal immunization increases antibody transmission to the infant (Englund 1993)



### Immunization of Women During Pregnancy is NOT New

- **1879**: Maternal immunization with vaccinia conferred protection to smallpox in infants
- 1938: Maternal immunization with crude whole cell pertussis vaccine given multiple times conferred protection of infants to pertussis
- 1961: Maternal immunization with tetanus toxoid prevented maternal mortality as well as neonatal tetanus in New Guinea



### **Considerations for Vaccination During Pregnancy**

- Disease burden in mothers and infants versus disease burden predominantly in infant or mother.
- Immunogenicity/effectiveness:
  - Immune response in mother.
  - Kinetics of antibody transfer.
  - Influence of maternal antibody on infant immune responses.
- Safety.
- Regulatory and legal considerations.
- Programmatic.
- Public perception/risk communication.
- Advocacy/demand creation.
- Financial.

Partially adapted from: ACIP. MMWR 2008; 57: 580 and Ortiz JR Vaccine 2012.



# INFLUENZA DURING PREGNANCY

- 1918: ~50% mortality associated with infection during pregnancy with highest rates in later pregnancy\*
- 1957: 50% of women of childbearing age who died of influenza were pregnant; 10% of all influenza deaths that season were in pregnant women (most in latter half of pregnancy)\*\*
- 1970-1980's: Case reports of complications—many in later stages of pregnancy, with high rates of resp. failure\*\*\*
- 2009 H1N1 Pandemic: increased rates, severe outcomes in US, UK, Argentina, Australia\*\*\*\*

\*Harris. JAMA 1919;14:978; \*\* Freeman and Barno, Am J Ob Gyn 1959;78:1172; Greenberg et al. Am J Ob Gyn 1958;76:897 \*\*\*Neuzil et al Inf Dis Clin N Am 2001;15:123 \*\*\*\*Jamieson Lancet 2009



### Influenza-Specific Maternal Antibody in Infants

Good evidence that infants are protected from symptomatic influenza by transplacentally acquired antibody:



- Undetectable flu antibody in cord blood of infants who are hospitalized with influenza<sup>1</sup>
- Delayed onset and decreased severity of disease in infants with higher antibody levels <sup>2</sup>

Maternal immunization increases the amount of antibody transmitted to infants<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Puck et al, J Infect Dis 1980; 142:844-9;
- 2. Reuman PD, et al. PIDJ 1987;6:398-403
- 3. Englund et al: J Infect Dis 1993;168:647-56

# Influenza is a serious disease in the youngest children

#### 100,000 US children, 2003-4 1.00-0.90 Influenza-Associated Mortality (deaths per 100,000 children) 0.80 0.70 0.60 0.50 0.40 0.30 0.20 0.10-0.00 <6 mo 6-11 mo 1 yr 3 yr 5-10 yr 11-17 yr 4 yr 2 yr Age Group

Influenza-associated deaths per

# Excess Hospitalizations of Influenza per 10,000 Children/Year By Age



#### \*Bhat et al. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 2559-67

Neuzil KM et al. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:225-231



### Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) : Age Specific Hospitalization Rates



Source: NETEPI database

OSPITAL • RESEARCH • FOUNDATION

### EFFICACY: Maternal Immunization with Influenza in Low Resource Countries\*

#### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Effectiveness of Maternal Influenza Immunization in Mothers and Infants

K. Zaman, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Eliza Roy, M.B., B.S., D.C.H., Shams E. Arifeen, M.B., B.S., Dr.P.H., Mahbubur Rahman, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Rubhana Raqib, Ph.D., Emily Wilson, M.H.S., Saad B. Omer, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Nigar S. Shahid, M.B., B.S., M.P.H., Robert E. Breiman, M.D., and Mark C. Steinhoff, M.D.

#### N Engl J Med 2008;359:1555-64.



#### Study design:

- Randomized controlled trial carried out in Bangladesh, 2004-5.
- 340 pregnant women received either inactivated influenza vaccine or pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (control) during 3rd trimester.
- Women followed through pregnancy and women/babies through 6 M after birth.

#### Results:

- Maternal TIV decreased respiratory illness with fever:
  - 29% among infants;
  - 36% among their mothers.
- Vaccine efficacy against laboratoryconfirmed influenza among newborns was 63%

#### •Caveats:

- Small sample size
- Laboratory testing not optimal
- Not placebo-controlled

#### Articles

....

# H1N1 2009 influenza virus infection during pregnancy in the USA

......

Denise J Jamieson, Margaret A Honein, Sonja A Rasmussen, Jennifer L Williams, David L Swerdlow, Matthew S Biggerstaff, Stephen Lindstrom, Janice K Louie, Cara M Christ, Susan R Bohm, Vincent P Fonseca, Kathleen A Ritger, Daniel J Kuhles, Paula Eggers, Hollianne Bruce, Heidi A Davidson, Emily Lutterloh, Meghan L Harris, Colleen Burke, Noelle Cocoros, Lyn Finelli, Kitty F MacFarlane, Bo Shu, Sonja J Olsen, and the Novel Influenza A (H1N1) Pregnancy Working Group\*

- ~ 6% of deaths in US from pandemic (H1N1) 2009 Influenza are among pregnant women (based on 484 H1N1 deaths reported to CDC by August 21, 28 of whom were pregnant)
- Pregnant women ~1% of the general population



Jamieson et al., Lancet 374:451-8, 2009

### Transplacentally-acquired Influenza Vaccine-specific Antibody in US Infants\*



Antibody to influenza A and B in infants following maternal immunization with TIV or TT (control)

\*Englund et al, JID 1993;68:647

### Maternal Influenza Vaccine Increases the Antibody Transferred To Infants \*

Antibody to influenza A and B in mothers and their infants following maternal immunization with influenza vx <a>vx</a> or tetanus toxoid vx <a>



\* Englund et al: J Infect Dis 1993;168:647-56

# Safety of influenza vaccines in pregnancy (1)

- Influenza vaccination (TIV) is an essential element of prenatal care because pregnant women are at increased risk of serious illness due to influenza
- Vaccination is recommended at any time in pregnancy, before and during the influenza season
- No study to date has shown an adverse consequence of inactivated influenza vaccine in pregnant women or their offspring
- Data from an observational cohort study in Canada and from a birth and infant health registry in the United States did not point to any safety concerns related to pandemic vaccines among women during gestation or their offspring. Several studies on the safety of pandemic vaccines among pregnant women are still being completed in other regions.
- GACVS has established a subgroup on safety during pregnancy issues that is reviewing safety issues related to the use of influenza vaccines during pregnancy and lactation.

ACOG Committee Opinion, Obstet Gynecol Vol116;No.4:1006,Oct.2010





# GACVS advice:

## Safety of Influenza vaccines in pregnancy (3)

- Safety information for influenza vaccines continues to be reassuring.
- Significant morbidity due to vaccine-preventable diseases among women and infants could be prevented by immunization of pregnant women.
- Despite lack of apparent safety issues precautions and contraindications limiting vaccines' benefits to women are often included in product labelling on pregnancy and lactation.
- Further action by GACVS (Dec 2011):
  - continue to monitor and report adverse events in pregnant women following the use of influenza vaccines
  - review relevant evidence
  - include methodological points for planning and analysis of clinical trials and post marketing studies.





### NEPAL MATERNAL INFLUENZA IMMUNIZATION STUDY





# Pregnancy testing







Adapted from Neuzil et al Am J Epi 1998;148: 1094

### **TIV Clinical Effectiveness in Immunized Mothers**

Zaman 2008: TIV in Bangladesh

• 25-45% clinical effectiveness against nonspecific criteria

Sheffield 2011: TIV given to pregnant women 2003-2004 (non-randomized)

- 2889 women received TIV; 1998 matched controls
- Decrease flu+ disease in women: 99% efficacy

Observational studies using administrative databases with mixed findings:

• Black 2004: no benefit to mother or child

### **BMGF-Sponsored Maternal Immunization Trials**

	Nepal (Steinhoff)	Mali (Levine)	South Africa (Madhi)
RCT Endpoint	Safety and efficacy in mothers and infants	Safety and efficacy in mothers and infants	Safety and efficacy in mothers (without HIV) and infants. Safety and immuno in mothers with HIV and infants.
Years	2010-2013	2011-13	2011-2013
Sample Size	3,000	5,440	HIV-:2,100 HIV+:180 (year 1)/ 789 (year 2)
Vaccines	Vaxigrip/placebo	Vaxigrip/Menactra	Vaxigrip/placebo
Geography	Rural	Urban	Urban
Infant Mortality	47/1,000I-b	102/1,000 l-b	44/1,000 l-b
HIV prevalence	<1%	2.3%	29%
Climate/Influenza Seasonality	Sub-tropical/Year Round	Tropical/Unknown	Temperate/ Seasonal

- Adegbola R, Nesin M, Wairagkar N. Immunogenicity and efficacy of influenza immunization during pregnancy: recent and ongoing studies. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012 Sep;207(3 Suppl):S28-32.
- Clinicaltrials.gov

### Evaluation of Safety of Influenza Vaccination During Pregnancy

- No systematic review currently available
- Prospective clinical trials \*
- Retrospective and database studies\*
- Post-marketing passive reporting systems \*\*
  - VAERS or VSD in the US
  - Yellow Card System in the UK
- Other vaccine safety systems using databases that link vaccination history and medical outcomes
- Post-marketing Pregnancy Registries\*\*
- \* Limitations: Design and statistical power (N)

\*\* Limitations: 1. Under reporting; 2. In addition to number of events, calculation of a rate or attributable risk (using # persons vaccinated as denominator) is necessary to evaluate relationship/causality; 3. Confounders;
4. Insufficient power


World Health Organization

Organisation mondiale de la Santé

## Weekly epidemiological record Relevé épidémiologique hebdomadaire

28 JANUARY 2011, 86th YEAR / 28 JANVIER 2011, 86° ANNÉE No. 5, 2011, 86, 37–44 http://www.who.int/wer

recommending that the vaccine should not be administered during pregnancy unless there is definite risk of group A meningococcal disease, and lactating women should not be given the vaccine since it is not known whether it is excreted in breast milk. The Committee noted that this kind of precautionary statement has also been used for other inactivated vaccines, including other meningococcal conjugate vaccines, and is not based on any known risks to these groups. **Given the clear benefits of the vaccine, the increased risk of disease in the geographical area and past experiences using similar vaccines in comparable conditions, GACVS supported WHO's technical guidance that MenAfriVac should be offered to pregnant and lactating women residing in the meningitis belt during any stage of pregnancy or lactation.**..."



#### Immunogenicity in Pregnant women: Whole Virus and TIV

Study	Design	Pregnant women	Non- pregnant	Results
Hulka Obstet Gynecol 1964	Nonrandomized cohort; 2 doses whole virus	225	44	Similar pattern of rise and fall of influenza titers
Murray J Clin Micro 1979	Prospective cohort; '76 monovalent whole virus	26	18	No significant difference in GMT HAI antibody between pregnant and non-pregnant or by trimester
Sumaya 1976	Conven. sample, '76 monovalent whole virus	40		HAI antibody similar to nonpregnant adults in another trial.
Englund JID 1993	TIV in third trimester	13		All 13 seroconverted
Steinhoff NEJM 2010	TIV	311	0	Good immunogenicity in mothers with antibody persisting for up to 6 months in infants

#### Risk Factors for Severe Outcomes following 2009 Influenza A (H1N1) Infection: A Global Pooled Analysis

Risk Factor	RR Hospitalization	RR Death
Gender	1.0 (0.8-1.1)	0.8 (0.7–1.0)
Respiratory Disease	3.3 (2.0–5.8)	7.8 (4.9–26.6)
Asthma	1.8 (1.2–2.6)	1.7 (1.5–2.1)
Diabetes	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	4.0 (3.1–6.9)
Cardiac Disease	2.0 (1.5–2.2)	9.2 (5.4–10.7)
Renal Disease	4.4 (4.2–4.5)	22.7 (21.0–25.4)
Liver Disease	3 5.7 (3.2–15.7)	17.4 (11.6–28.0)
Neurological Disease	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	13.1 (8.4–32.4)
Immune Compromised	24.3 (16.1–32.6)	27.7 (14.0–66.5)
Pregnancy	6.8 (4.5–12.3)	1.9 (0.0–2.6)

Relative Risk differs by country from 3.5 in Germany to 25.3 in France, and may reflect clinical practice variations and health care utilization

Van Kerkhove, Mounts PLoS Med 2011



#### Neonatal Outcomes after Influenza Immunization During Pregnancy - Steinhoff et al. CMAJ 2012; 184:645

	No. (%) (	of infants*		
Variable	Control vaccine n = 166	Influenza vaccine n = 161	p value	OR (95% CI)
Birth weight, mean, g	3027	3117	0.09	-
Gestational age, mean, wk	39.4	39.5	0.6	-
Small for gestational age	63 (38.0)	45 (28.0)	0.05	0.63 (0.4–1.0)
Weighed less than < 2500 g	13 (7.8)	1 (4.4)	0.2	0.53 (0.2–1.4)
Born before 37 weeks' gestation	14 (8.4)	10 (6.2)	0.4	0.72 (0.3–1.7)
Note: CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ra *Unless stated otherwise.	atio.			

Comments:

- 1) Secondary analysis
- 2) Control vaccine = pneumococcal vaccine
- 3) Increased impact in infant when flu virus circulating

## GACVS Advice: Safety of influenza vaccines in pregnancy

- Safety information for influenza vaccines continues to be reassuring
- Sgnificant morbidty due to vaccine-peventable diseases among women and infants could be prevented by immunization of pregnant women
- Despite lack of apparent safety issues, precautions and contraindications limiting vaccine benefits to women are often included in product labeling
- Further action by GACVS (Dec 2011):
  - Continue to monitor and report adverse events in pregnant women following the use of influenza vaccine
  - Review relevant evidence
  - Include methodological points for planning and analysis of clinicalt trials and post-marketing studies
  - GACVS meeting to discuss maternal immunization



#### UPDATE ON SAFETY OF INFLUENZA VACCINES DURING PREGNANCY



\* Ortiz et al, Vaccine 2011; Blancard-Rohner, Siegrist Vaccine 2011; Munoz 2012

\*\* Zaman NEJM 2009; Englund JID 1993

#### Safety of flu vaccines assessed using:\*

- Prospective clinical trials\*\*
- Retrospective and database studies
- Post-marketing passive reporting systems
- VAERS or VSD in the US
- Yellow Card System in the UK
- Other vaccine safety systems using databases that link vaccination history and medical outcomes
- Post-marketing Pregnancy Registries
- \*\* Limitations:
- 1. Under reporting
- In addition to number of events, calculation of a rate or attributable risk (using # persons vaccinated as denominator) is necessary to evaluate relationship or causality;
- 3. Confounders
- 4. Insufficient power

#### Safety of Adjuvanted Influenza H1N1 vaccines in Pregnant Women, 2010-2012

Study	Design	Study Group	Control Group	F/Up Period	Maternal Outcomes	Infant Outcomes
Tsai et al Vaccine 2010	Novartis clinical trial database of MF59 adjuvanted Flu vaccines (N=23,300) and unadjuvanted (N=40,285)	43 preg- nancies after MF59 and 60 pregnancies after nonadj flu vx; majority received vx 1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	None	Delivery	No signals of risk but small numbers; similar rates after nonadj. & MF59 adj vx	Not reported
Gisslser et al ESPID 2012		76,043 newborn; 12,510 spon abortions	No maternal vx	Delivery	Pandemrix vaccine did not affect course of pregnancy	Protective effect on newborns regardless of smoking hx
Mackenzie et al Br J Clin Pharm 2012	Safety surveillance feasibility study in Scotland	3754 vaccinated people, with 117 pregnant women	312 who declined vaccine		No significant safety issues ; 4 miscarriages overall	No significant risk6 possible congenital abnormalities
Oppermann et al Vaccine 2012	F/up of German pregnant women immunized with ASO3 or nonadj. Flu vx	323 pregnant women any trimester	1329 controls	Delivery	No attributable risk vs. controls	No attributable risk vs. controls

## Transplacentally-Acquired Influenza Antibody in Infants: Small prospective studies

- Infants are protected from symptomatic influenza A virus infection by transplacentally acquired antibody (*Puck 1980*)
- Passive maternal antibody to influenza:
  - delays the onset of influenza disease
  - decreased the severity of influenza disease (*Reuman 1987*)
- Maternal immunization increases antibody transmission to the infant (*Englund 1993*)



#### BIRTH ASSESSMENT and WEEKLY ASSESSMENTS



#### Birth Assessment





#### Weekly Assessment



Transport of specimens

#### NEPAL FLU VACCINE STUDY

Maternal influenza immunization in southern Nepal:

- Sponsored by B&M Gates Foundation
- ~3500 pregnant women enrolled to receive flu vaccine or placebo
- Babies and mother outcome followed
- Influenza present nearly every month





# Safety of maternal influenza immunization in controlled studies

- Many "older" studies reported but often not well controlled
  - Over 100 pregnant women received 1976 swine influenza vaccine (A/New Jersey/8/76)<sup>1-3</sup>
  - Recent prospective<sup>4</sup> and retrospective<sup>5-6</sup> studies of safety of TIV during pregnancy
  - No significant adverse reactions, including fever, local or systemic reactions, or fetal complications associated with flu vaccine in literature<sup>7</sup>
  - Canadian, European, US studies of influenza vaccine in healthy and HIV+ pregnant women with H1N1: good safety, immunogenicity
  - At least 3 international field trials underway (S. Africa, Nepal, Nepal)

Sumaya CV et al. JID 1979;140:141-46
Murray DL et al. J Clin Micro 1979;10:184-87
Deinhard AS et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1981;140:240
Tamma PD et al. Am J Ob Gyn 2009;201:547
Ortiz et al. Vaccine 2011 (in press)

#### **EFFECTIVENESS BY WHO GRADE TABLE\***

Is inactivated influenza vx vs. no intervention or non-influenza vx in pregnant women effective to prevent influenza infection and severe outcomes of infection in pregnant women?

- <u>Zaman 2008</u>:
  - Effectiveness against respiratory illness with fever was 36%, implying a significant reduction achieved by influenza vaccination of pregnant women.
- Englund 1993:
  - No information on vaccine efficacy in pregnant women and the impact on laboratory-confirmed influenza (Note: not studied).
- <u>Hulka 1964</u>:
  - No significant difference in effectiveness of influenza vaccine vs. other vaccine against MAARI.
- Excluded: Decades of immunogenicity studies, observational studies, effectiveness studies in nonpregnant adults, outcomes including newborn influenza or birthweight.



\*<u>http://www.who.int/immunization/position\_papers/influenza\_grad\_maternal\_outcomes.pdf</u>

## ACIP Guidelines for Vaccinating Pregnant Women: Pertussis Vaccine

" ACIP recommends that providers of prenatal care implement a Tdap immunization program for all pregnant women. Health-care personnel should administer a dose of Tdap during each pregnancy irrespective of the patient's prior history of receiving Tdap. If not administered during pregnancy, Tdap should be administered immediately postpartum."

ACIP. October 24, 2012





## EXAMPLE: Maternal Immunization to Prevent Infant RSV Disease

- Most urgent need for protection against RSV is during first months of life, when vaccines are poorly immunogenic
- >75% of hospitalization for significant RSV disease occurs in full term, healthy infants:
- Clinical studies with RSV subunit vaccines show good immunogenicity and lack of reactogenicity in postpartum women
- US government regulation (FDA): Teratogenicity of PFP vaccine in animal model required and performed prior to human trial



